

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Monday, October 23, 2023, 1:30 PM

To join the meeting:

The virtual meeting access information will be emailed.

DRAFT COMMISSION AGENDA REVIEW

CONSENT CALENDAR

- MINUTES FOR THE OCTOBER 5, 2023, COMMISSION MEETING (KEFFER)
 REQUESTED ACTION: The Secretary to the Commission requests correction to, or approval of, the Draft Action Minutes for the October 5, 2023, Commission meeting.
- SEPTEMBER 2023 CHECK REGISTER (THOMPSON)
 REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the September 2023 Check Register.
- OVERALL WORK PROGRAM BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FISCAL YEAR 2022/23 REPORT (THOMPSON)
 REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the Overall Work Program Budget vs. Actual Comparison Fiscal Year 2022/23 July-June Report.
- 4. FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE RECIPIENT AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018/19 AND FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE RECIPIENT AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019/20 (THOMPSON) REQUESTED ACTION:
 - Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for Fiscal Year 2018/19 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program
 - 2. Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for Fiscal Year 2019/20 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program
- 5. FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE RECIPIENT AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022/23 (THOMPSON)
 REQUESTED ACTION: Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for Fiscal Year 2022/23 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program Exchange funds.

BUSINESS ITEM

TRANSIT AND INTERCITY RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM AND ZERO EMISSION TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 FUNDING ALLOCATION (BOLSTER)
REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 23/24.09 allocating \$9,085,857 in Fiscal Year 2023/24
Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program Funds and \$971,781 in Fiscal Year 2023/24 Zero Emission Transit Capital Program Fund to the El Dorado County Transit Authority.

<u>7.</u> 2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMENCEMENT AND RATIFICATION OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BARTON)

REQUESTED ACTION: Commence the update of the 2025-2045 El Dorado County Regional Transportation Plan and ratify the Regional Transportation Plan Advisory Committee Member Matrix.

INFORMATION ITEM

<u>8.</u> 2024 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OVERVIEW (BOLSTER) REQUESTED ACTION: None. This item is for information only.

MEMBER SHARING

ADJOURNMENT

The next TAC meeting is scheduled for November 27, 2023.

CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: DANA KEFFER, ADMINISTRATIVE ANALYST/SECRETARY TO THE

COMMISSION

SUBJECT: OCTOBER 5, 2023 COMMISSION MEETING

REQUESTED ACTION: The Secretary to the Commission requests correction to, or approval of, the Draft Action Minutes (Attachment A) for the October 5, 2023 Commission meeting.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: October 5, 2023 Minutes



2828 Easy Street, Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 www.edctc.org 530.642.5260

Councilmembers Representing City of Placerville: John Clerici, Jackie Neau, Michael Saragosa

<u>Supervisors Representing El Dorado County: John Hidahl, Lori Parlin, Wendy Thomas, George Turnboo</u>

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

ACTION MINUTES

Regular Meeting, Thursday, October 5, 2023, 2:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Clerici called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM and Commissioner Turnboo led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ATTENDANCE: Chair Clerici, Vice Chair Thomas, Commissioners Hidahl, Neau, Parlin, Turnboo, Caltrans Ex Officio Alex Fong. ABSENT: Commissioner Saragosa and South Lake Tahoe Ex Officio Cody Bass

ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND CONSENT CALENDAR

There were no public comments received.

ACTION: Commissioner Parlin made a motion to adopt the agenda and to approve or adopt items 1-5 on the Consent Calendar. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Thomas which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Parlin/Thomas

AYES: Clerici, Hidahl, Neau, Parlin, Thomas, Turnboo

ABSTAIN: None NOES: None ABSENT: Saragosa

1. MINUTES FOR THE SEPTEMBER 7, 2023, COMMISSION MEETING

REQUESTED ACTION: The Secretary to the Commission requests correction to, or approval of, the Draft Action Minutes for the September 7, 2023, Commission meeting.

2. AUGUST 2023 CHECK REGISTER

REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the August 2023 Check Register.

3. TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FISCAL YEAR 2022/23 STATE OF GOOD REPAIR FUNDS ACTUAL ALLOCATION AND CLAIM

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 23/24.06 to approve the Transportation Development Act Fiscal Year 2022/23 State of Good Repair Funds Actual Allocation and Claim.

4. TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 APPORTIONMENT AND ALLOCATION FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS, REVISED STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUNDS, AND REVISED STATE OF GOOD REPAIR FUNDS

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 23/24.07 approving the Transportation Development Act Fiscal Year 2023/24 Apportionment and Allocation for Local Transportation Funds, Revised State Transit Assistance Funds, and Revised State of Good Repair Funds.

5. ZARTICO SOFTWARE FUNDING AGREEMENT (BARTON)

REQUESTED ACTION: Authorize the Executive Director to sign an agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and the Economic Development Corporation for EDCTC to reimburse El Dorado Economic Development Corporation \$9,000.00 for shared use of Zartico software through June 30, 2024.

OPEN FORUM

There was no public comment.

BUSINESS ITEMS

6. FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM, BUDGET, AND GOALS AND OBJECTIVES, AMENDMENT 1 (THOMPSON)

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 23/24.08, approving the Fiscal Year 2023/24 Overall Work Program, Budget, and Goals and Objectives, Amendment 1.

There were no public comments received.

ACTION: Vice Chair Thomas made a motion to authorize the requested action as stated. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Parlin which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Thomas/Parlin

AYES: Clerici, Hidahl, Neau, Parlin, Thomas, Turnboo

ABSTAIN: None NOES: None ABSENT: Saragosa

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Public comment received from El Dorado County Deputy Director Engineering M. Smeltzer.

Commissioner Turnboo left the meeting at 2:19pm.

SOUTH LAKE TAHOE - CALTRANS - COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 2:32pm.

The next regular meeting is scheduled for 2:00pm on November 2, 2023, at 330 Fair Lane Placerville, California.

CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, FISCAL OFFICER

SUBJECT: SEPTEMBER 2023 CHECK REGISTER

REQUESTED ACTION

Receive and file the September 2023 Check Register (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The attached check listing includes two payments that merit further explanation:

Wood Rodgers......\$3,900.00

August 2023 professional services for the Trip to Green Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-saving Transportation Program (PROTECT) Federal grant application support.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: September 2023 Check Register

El Dorado County Transportation Commission Check Register September 2023

Date	Name	Payment	Memo
09/01/2023	Airespring	668.95	September 2023 Fiber Optic Internet
09/01/2023	Ameritas Life Insurance Corp.	630.40	September 2023 Dental
09/01/2023	Ameritas Life Insurance Corp.	78.88	September 2023 Vision
09/01/2023	Benefit Coordinators Corporation	231.55	September 2023 Life/Disability Premiums
09/01/2023	CalPERS Health	8,035.27	September 2023 Health Premiums
09/01/2023	RTS IT, Inc.	1,070.00	September 2023 ITCare Silver Service Plan
09/05/2023	Century Building Maintenance	500.00	August 2023 Building Maintenance
09/06/2023	CalPERS Retirement System	4,187.27	September 2023 Contribution #1
09/06/2023	CalPERS Retirement System	473.83	September 2023 PEPRA Contribution #1
09/12/2023	Elan Financial Services - Visa DK	694.21	Office Phones, ADA Compliant Website, Misc Office Expense
09/12/2023	Elan Financial Services - Visa KT	61.33	Zoom Meetings and August Office Expenses
09/12/2023	Elan Financial Services - Visa WD	44.25	Sacramento Parking/Office expenses
09/12/2023	Extreme Towing	14,926.31 *	August 2023 Freeway Service Patrol
09/12/2023	Roberts & Company, Inc.	75.00	August 2023 Accounting Oversight
09/12/2023	Total Compensation Systems, Inc.	1,500.00	GASB 75 Full Valuation 2nd Installment
09/19/2023	Wood Rodgers	3,900.00 *	August 2023 Protect Federal Grant Application-Trip to Green
09/20/2023	CalPERS Retirement System	4,187.27	September 2023 Contribution #2
09/20/2023	CalPERS Retirement System	473.83	September 2023 PEPRA Contribution #2
09/20/2023	Umpqua Bank	72.29	August 2023 Analyzed Checking Fee
09/25/2023	Hangtown Fire Control	55.00	Fire Extinguisher service
09/25/2023	Sharon Petersen	4,608.00	October 2023 Office Rent
09/25/2023	Sloan Sakai Yeung & Wong LLP	203.00	August 2023 Legal Services
	Total	46,676.64	
		46,676.64	

CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, FISCAL OFFICER

SUBJECT: OVERALL WORK PROGRAM BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FISCAL YEAR

2022/23 REPORT

REQUESTED ACTION

Receive and file the Overall Work Program Budget vs. Actual Comparison Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 July-June Report (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND

This budget vs. actual comparison is for fiscal year 2022/23. The purpose of this report is to compare the budgeted revenues and expenditures to the actual for the fiscal year by work element and to provide information relative to the financial position of the agency.

DISCUSSION

This attached summary report shows the budget vs. actual expenditures by work element.

A summary of the Commission's total funds on hand, disbursements, and receipts for July through June are provided in the table below.

Fiscal Year Cash Balances

Public Funds Money Market and Checking Account Balances at July 1, 2022	\$656,836
Receipts	\$2,689,079
Disbursements	\$1,773,681
Public Funds Money Market and Checking Account Balances at June 30, 2023	\$1,572,234

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: OWP Budget vs. Actual Comparison FY 2022/23 July-June

EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OWP BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FY 2022/23 JULY-JUNE

	WE	50	WE	100	WE	110	WE	120	WE	125	WE	130
	Indirect Costs		Overall Work Program Administration & Implementation		Intergovernmental Coordination		Transportation Development Act & Transit Administration		Airport Land Use Commission		Freeway Service Patrol	
	Budget 50	Actual 50	Budget 100	Actual 100	Budget 110	Actual 110	Budget 120	Actual 120	Budget 125	Actual 125	Budget 130	Actual 130
Income	30	50	100	100	110	110	120	120	125	120	130	130
Local Transportation Funds (LTF)			-	7,829	-	2,858	66,701	68,135	15,917	11,131		
Local Transportation Funds (LTF) SACOG Payment				,,,,,		_,,,,,	25,101		10,011	,		
Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)			77,811	77,811	156,886	156,886	-	-	-	-	-	-
STIP Planning, Programing & Monitoring (PPM)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CRRSSA Surface Transp Block Grant Prog												
FHWA-State Planning & Research												
State Highway Account (SHA)												
State Highway Account (SHA) 2022/23											100.010	101 001
Freeway Service Patrol											190,640	181,264
STBG Exchange 22/23 Receivable Misc Income/EDH CSD/EI Dorado County		126										_
· ·												
Total Income	-	126	77,811	85,641	156,886	159,744	66,701	68,135	15,917	11,131	190,640	181,264
Expense												
Permanent Employees/Benefits	275,121	284,315	47,261	52,017	91,420	96,601	30,126	31,165	6,631	6,761	14,966	14,894
Building Lease & Utilities	65,304	66,587										
Office Expense	52,312	46,714	-	-	6,370	700	400	126	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	49,100	39,613	-				16,700	16,700	5,000	-	166,000	156,743
Indirect Cost Allocation	(439,847)	(434,191)	30,550	33,624	59,096	62,443	19,474	20,145	4,286	4,370	9,674	9,627
Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year	(1,989)											
Total Expense	(0)	3,038	77,811	85,641	156,886	159,744	66,701	68,135	15,917	11,131	190,640	181,264
Current Year Retention	(0)	5,000	,	23,011	. 2 3,000		23,101	-	. 3,0	,	120,010	, 20 .
Prior Year Retention												
OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses			110.	.1%	101.	.8%	102	.2%	69.	9%	95.	1%
-												

EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OWP BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FY 2022/23 JULY-JUNE

	WE 2	200	WE	221	WE	253	WE	259	WE 26	55SHA	WE	265
	Regional Transportation Plan		Transit Planning		US 50 Corridor System Analysis, Investment Strategy and Access Control Action Plan		State Route 49 American River Confluence Study		Greater Placerville Wildfire Evacuation Preparedness, Community Safety, and Resiliency Plan Public Outreach		Greater Placerville Wildfire Evacuation Preparedness, Community Safety, and Resiliency Plan	
	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual
	200	200	221	221	253	253	259	259	265SHA	265SHA	265	265
Income											10.000	2 12 1
Local Transportation Funds (LTF)	128.171	7,669 128.171	38,105	39,215	-	-	-	1,526			12,086	9,434
Local Transportation Funds (LTF) SACOG Payment Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)	5,000	5,000	-	_	_	_						
STIP Planning, Programing & Monitoring (PPM)	3,000	3,000		-	-						-	
Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)	147,765	126,136	-	-	29,148	27,559	-	-			16,803	6,741
CRRSSA Surface Transp Block Grant Prog	7 11 ,1 22	0,.00			44,379	44,379					10,000	
FHWA-State Planning & Research					56,389	56,389						
State Highway Account (SHA)							59,866	59,866			222,979	124,840
State Highway Account (SHA) 2022/23									75,000	24,455		
Freeway Service Patrol												
STBG Exchange 22/23 Receivable		8,778										
Misc Income/EDH CSD/EI Dorado County											-	-
Total Income	280,936	275,754	38,105	39,215	129,916	128,327	59,866	61,392	75,000	24,455	251,868	141,015
Expense												
Permanent Employees/Benefits	89,142	86,227	23,144	23,818	9,312	8,880	1,876	2,833			5,699	6,159
Building Lease & Utilities												
Office Expense	-	-	-	-	200	-	100	50			250	-
Professional Services	134,171	133,789	-	-	114,385	89,272	56,678	41,681	75,000	24,455	242,235	130,874
Indirect Cost Allocation	57,623	55,737	14,961	15,396	6,019	5,740	1,213	1,831			3,684	3,981
Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year												
Total Expense	280,936	275,754	38,105	39,215	129,916	103,892	59,866	46,395	75,000	24,455	251,868	141,015
Current Year Retention		-		,		8,698		4,631		2,717		14,542
Prior Year Retention						15,738		10,366				
OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses	98.2	2%	102.	.9%	86.7	7%	102.	.5%	36.	2%	61.	8%

EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OWP BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FY 2022/23 JULY-JUNE

	WE	300	WE	310	WE	330	WE	400	WE -	410				
	State & Prograi Budget		Transpo Project D Overs Budget	elivery &	Active & A Transpo Progi Budget	rtation	Puk Educ: & Out Budget	ation	Transpo Advo Budget		Caltrans Cost Alloc (ICAP) Excl Budget	ation Plan	OWP Total Budget	Total Actual
	300	300	310	310	330	330	400	400	410	410				
Income														
Local Transportation Funds (LTF)	141,717	139,001	99,858	96,579	-	-	-	2,164	103,116	92,406	2,500	2,054	480,000	480,000
Local Transportation Funds (LTF) SACOG Payment													128,171	128,171
Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)	-	-	-	-	-	-	97,303	97,303	-	-	-	-	337,000	337,000
STIP Planning, Programing & Monitoring (PPM)	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		78,000	78,000
Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)	-	-	-	-	107,433	87,502	-	-	-	-	-	-	301,149	247,937
CRRSSA Surface Transp Block Grant Prog													44,379	44,379
FHWA-State Planning & Research													56,389	56,389
State Highway Account (SHA)													282,845	184,706
State Highway Account (SHA) 2022/23													75,000	24,455
Freeway Service Patrol													190,640	181,264
STBG Exchange 22/23 Receivable Misc Income/EDH CSD/EI Dorado County					1,500	1,231			29.250	29.250			30,750	8,778 30,606
,									-,	-,		-	,	
Total Income	180,717	178,001	138,858	135,579	108,933	88,732	97,303	99,467	132,366	121,656	2,500	2,054	2,004,323	1,801,686
													-	0.00
Expense														
Permanent Employees/Benefits	109.733	108,115	84,309	82,339	66.042	53,805	59.100	60,397	41.676	37.695	-	-	955,559	956,022
Building Lease & Utilities	,	,	- 1,000	5=,555	00,012	00,000	55,155	20,000	,	0.,000			65,304	66,587
Office Expense	9,050	9,000	50	15	200	148	-	29	15,000	10,843	2,500	2,054	86,432	69,678
Professional Services		-	-	-	-	-		-	48,750	48,753	,	-	908,018	681,880
Indirect Cost Allocation	70,934	69,886	54,499	53,224	42,691	34,780	38,203	39,041	26,940	24,366		-	(0)	0
Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year													(1,989)	-
•													-	-
Total Expense	189,717	187,001	138,858	135,579	108,933	88,732	97,303	99,467	132,366	121,656	2,500	2,054	2,013,323	1,774,166
Current Year Retention										-			-	30,588
Prior Year Retention													-	26,103
OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses	98.	6%	97.0	6%	81.	5%	102	.2%	91.9	9%	82.	2%	90	9%
													100% of F	Complete

CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, FISCAL OFFICER

SUBJECT: FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE

TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE RECIPIENT AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018/19 AND FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE

RECIPIENT AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019/20

REQUESTED ACTIONS

- Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018/19 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) (Attachment A)
- 2. Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for FY 2019/20 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) (Attachment B)

BACKGROUND

The Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) was established by California State Statute utilizing Surface Transportation Program Funds that are identified in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code. The State of California allows smaller counties to exchange their apportionment of federal RSTP funds for State Highway Account funds. The Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST ACT) changed the name of the RSTP funding to STBG.

DISCUSSION

The El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) distributes the STBG funds to the City of Placerville and El Dorado County as part of its responsibilities as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the Western Slope of El Dorado County. EDCTC executes an agreement between EDCTC and the STBG recipients to provide contract management and oversight of the funds. The agreement includes Exhibit A programming the funds to projects and the agreement allows for changes to the distribution of project funding with an amendment to Exhibit A.

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors approved the First Amendment to the FY 2018/19 and FY 2019/20 Agreements on September 26, 2023. These Amendments move remaining funding from the Green Valley Road/Indian Creek Bridge Replacement project and the Green Valley/Mound Springs Creek Bridge Replacement project to the Diamond Springs Parkway Phase 1B project in order to maximize the use of the funds prior to the expiration date.

Approved for Agenda

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachments: A) First Amendment to the FY 2018/19 Agreement

B) First Amendment to the FY 2019/20 Agreement

FIRST AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND EL DORADO COUNTY FOR FY 2018/19 REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM/SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE FUNDS

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT to that Agreement for FY 2018/19 Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funds made and entered into by and between the County of El Dorado, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient"), and El Dorado County Transportation Commission (hereinafter referred to as "EDCTC");

RECITALS

WHEREAS, EDCTC has entered into agreements with the State of California, Department of Transportation, to assign a defined portion of its annual RSTP/STBG apportionment to Caltrans in exchange for nonfederal State Highway Account funds and EDCTC is authorized to use these exchanged funds to assist local agencies to promote projects;

WHEREAS, EDCTC will distribute RSTP/STBG funds in accordance with the Agreement Between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for FY 2018/19 Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funds, dated September 5, 2019 (herein after referred to as "Agreement");

WHEREAS, Section 2. of the Agreement allows for an amended project list described in Exhibit A;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Agreement to replace Exhibit A with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient and EDCTC mutually agree to amend the terms of the Agreement in this First Amendment to Agreement as follows:

 Exhibit A is replaced in its entirety with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023), attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. All references to Exhibit A throughout the Agreement are substituted with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023).

Except as herein amended, all other parts and sections of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funding Agreement

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this First Amendment to the Agreement on the dates indicated below.

-- COUNTY OFEL DORADO --

By: Wendy Thomas Wendy Thomas, Chair Board of Supervisors RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient	<u>9-26-23</u> Date
Attest: Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	
By: <u>Hyle Hylm</u> Deputy Clerk	<u>9-26-23</u> Date
	ITY TRANSPORTATION ISSION

Woodrow Deloria

Executive Director

Date

\$548,437.00

El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funding Agreement

EXHIBIT A-1 (09/26/2023)

FY 2018/19

El Dorado County Transportation Commission
Regional Surface Transportation Program - Exchange Funds
EDCTC Agreement # X19-6157 (066)
Allocation Approved to El Dorado County on September 5, 2019: \$548,437.00

Agency	Commission Approval Date	Fund Expiration Date	Project	Cost Estimate- RSTP/STBG Share
El Dorado County	9/5/2019	9/5/2024	Intersection Safety/Ped Safety Improvements	\$242,300.00
El Dorado County	9/5/2019	9/5/2024	Intersection Safety/Sight Safety Improvements	\$51,570.00
El Dorado County	9/5/2019	9/5/2024	Green Valley/Indian Creek Bridge Replacement	\$12,471.37
El Dorado County	9/5/2019	9/5/2024	Green Valley/Mound Springs Creek Bridge Replacement	\$11,417.32
El Dorado County	9/5/2019	9/5/2024	Diamond Springs Parkway Ph 1B	\$230,678.31

Total

FIRST AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND EL DORADO COUNTY FOR FY 2019/20 REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM/SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE FUNDS

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT to that Agreement for FY 2019/20 Regional Surface Transportation Program/Surface Transportation Block Grant Exchange Funds made and entered into by and between the County of El Dorado, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient"), and El Dorado County Transportation Commission (hereinafter referred to as "EDCTC");

RECITALS

WHEREAS, EDCTC has entered into agreements with the State of California, Department of Transportation, to assign a defined portion of its annual RSTP/STBG apportionment to Caltrans in exchange for nonfederal State Highway Account funds and EDCTC is authorized to use these exchanged funds to assist local agencies to promote projects;

WHEREAS, EDCTC will distribute RSTP/STBG funds in accordance with the Agreement Between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for FY 2019/20 Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funds, dated June 4, 2020 (herein after referred to as "Agreement");

WHEREAS, Section 2. of the Agreement allows for an amended project list described in Exhibit A;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Agreement to replace Exhibit A with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient and EDCTC mutually agree to amend the terms of the Agreement in this First Amendment to Agreement as follows:

 Exhibit A is replaced in its entirety with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023), attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. All references to Exhibit A throughout the Agreement are substituted with Exhibit A-1 (09/26/2023).

Except as herein amended, all other parts and sections of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funding Agreement

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this First Amendment to the Agreement on the dates indicated below.

COUNTY	OFEL DORADO
By: Thendy Thomas Wendy Thomas, Chair Board of Supervisors RSTP/STBG Exchange Recipient	<u>9-26-23</u> Date
Attest: Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors By: Deputy Clerk	<u>9-26-23</u> Date
	NTY TRANSPORTATION ISSION
Woodrow Deloria	 Date

Executive Director

El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Regional Surface Transportation Program Exchange Funding Agreement

EXHIBIT A-1 (09/26/2023)

FY 2019/20

El Dorado County Transportation Commission
Regional Surface Transportation Program - Exchange Funds
EDCTC Agreement # X20-6157 (070)
Allocation Approved to El Dorado County on June 4, 2020: \$587,111.00

_	Agency	Commission Approval Date	Fund Expiration Date	Project	Cost Estimate- RSTP/STBG Share
	El Dorado County	06/04/2020	06/04/2025	Green Valley/Indian Creek Bridge Replacement	\$0.00
	El Dorado County	06/04/2020	06/04/2025	Green Valley/Mound Springs Bridge Replacement	\$0.00
	El Dorado County	06/04/2020	06/04/2025	Diamond Springs Parkway Ph 1B	\$587,111.00
				Total	\$587,111.00

CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, FISCAL OFFICER

SUBJECT: FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY REGIONAL SURFACE

TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE RECIPIENT

AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022/23

REQUESTED ACTIONS

Authorize the Executive Director to sign the First Amendment to the Agreement between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Exchange funds (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND

The Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) was established by California State Statute utilizing Surface Transportation Program Funds that are identified in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code. The State of California allows smaller counties to exchange their apportionment of federal RSTP funds for State Highway Account funds. The Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST ACT) changed the name of the RSTP funding to STBG.

DISCUSSION

The El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) distributes the STBG funds to the City of Placerville and El Dorado County as part of its responsibilities as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the Western Slope of El Dorado County. EDCTC executes an agreement between EDCTC and the STBG recipients to provide contract management and oversight of the funds. The agreement includes Exhibit A programming the funds to projects and the agreement allows for changes to the distribution of project funding with an amendment to Exhibit A.

The FY 2022/23 agreement was approved at the August 3, 2023 Commission meeting. Funding in the amount of \$100,000 was reserved for a regional project for the US 50 Camino Signage and Wayfinding Mitigation Plan. EDCTC applied for a Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) grant and the STBG funds were reserved to complete this project in the event the RPA grant was not awarded. EDCTC was successful in obtaining the RPA grant for \$85,000 with a match of \$9,400. El Dorado County Department of Transportation (DOT) agreed to use \$9,400 of the STBG Exchange funds for the match. The remaining amount of \$90,600 will be added to the Diamond Springs Parkway Phase 1B project in this amendment. El Dorado County DOT will add this amendment to a future Board of Supervisors agenda.

Approved for Agenda

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachments: A) First Amendment to the FY 2022/23 Agreement

FIRST AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND EL DORADO COUNTY FOR FY 2022/23 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM EXCHANGE FUNDS

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT to that Agreement for FY 2022/23 Surface Transportation Block Grant Exchange Funds made and entered into by and between the County of El Dorado, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "STBG Exchange Recipient"), and El Dorado County Transportation Commission (hereinafter referred to as "EDCTC");

RECITALS

WHEREAS, EDCTC has entered into agreements with the State of California, Department of Transportation, to assign a defined portion of its annual STBG apportionment to Caltrans in exchange for nonfederal State Highway Account funds and EDCTC is authorized to use these exchanged funds to assist local agencies to promote projects;

WHEREAS, EDCTC will distribute STBG funds in accordance with the Agreement Between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and El Dorado County for FY 2022/23 Surface Transportation Block Grant Program Exchange Funds, dated August 3, 2023 (herein after referred to as "Agreement");

WHEREAS, Section 2. of the Agreement allows for an amended project list described in Exhibit A:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Agreement to replace Exhibit A with Exhibit A-1 (11/2/2023);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, STBG Exchange Recipient and EDCTC mutually agree to amend the terms of the Agreement in this First Amendment to Agreement as follows:

I. Exhibit A is replaced in its entirety with Exhibit A-1 (11/2/2023), attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. All references to Exhibit A throughout the Agreement are substituted with Exhibit A-1 (11/2/2023).

Except as herein amended, all other parts and sections of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this First Amendment to the Agreement on the dates indicated below.

-- COUNTY OFEL DORADO--

By:	
Wendy Thomas, Chair Board of Supervisors STBG Exchange Recipient	Date
Attest: Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	
By: Deputy Clerk	 Date
	NTY TRANSPORTATION ISSION
Woodrow Deloria Executive Director	 Date

EXHIBIT A-1 (11/2/2023)

FY 2022/23

El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Exchange

Funds EDCTC Agreement # X23-6157 (081)

Allocation to El Dorado County Approved on August 3, 2023:

\$670,721.00

Additional Allocation to El Dorado County Approved on November 2, 2023:

\$90,600.00

Revised Total

\$761,321.00

\$761,321.00

Agency	Commission Approval Date	Fund Expiration Date	Project	Cost Estimate- STBG Share
El Dorado County	08/03/2023	08/03/2028	36104015 US 50 / Camino Area Safety Project	\$29,000.00
El Dorado County	08/03/2023	08/03/2028	36105061 Intersection Safety/Pedestrian Safety Improvement	\$4,500.00
El Dorado County	08/03/2023	08/03/2028	36105062 Intersection Safety/Sight Triangle Improvement	\$3,500.00
El Dorado County	08/03/2023	08/03/2028	36105072 Pleasant Valley Road Safety Improvements	\$155,000.00
El Dorado County	08/03/2023	08/03/2028	36105011 Diamond Springs Parkway - Phase 1B	\$478,721.00
El Dorado County	11/02/2023	11/02/2028	36105011 Diamond Springs Parkway - Phase 1B	\$90,600.00

Total

BUSINESS ITEM

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: DAN BOLSTER, SENIOR TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

SUBJECT: TRANSIT AND INTERCITY RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM AND ZERO EMISSION

TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 FUNDING ALLOCATION

REQUESTED ACTION

Adopt Resolution 23/24.09 (Attachment A) allocating \$9,085,857 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24 Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program Funds (TIRCP) and \$971,781 in FY 2023/24 Zero Emission Transit Capital Program Funds (ZETCP) to the El Dorado County Transit Authority (EDCTA).

BACKGROUND

The Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) was created by Senate Bill (SB) 862 (Chapter 36, Statutes of 2014) and modified by SB 9 (Chapter 710, Statutes of 2015), to provide grants from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) to fund transformative capital improvements that will modernize California's intercity, commuter, and urban rail systems, and bus and ferry transit systems, to significantly reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, vehicle miles traveled, and congestion.

AB 102 (Chapter 38, Statutes of 2023) and SB 125 (Chapter 54, Statutes of 2023) amended the Budget Act of 2023 to appropriate \$4,000,000,000 of General Fund to the TIRCP over the next two fiscal years as well as \$910,000,000 of GGRF funding and \$190,000,000 of Public Transportation Account (PTA) funding over the next four fiscal years to establish the Zero-Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP). SB 125 provided additional direction with regards to the funding appropriated in the Budget Act of 2023. It created specific categories for funding as follows:

- 1. \$3,995,000,000 over the next two fiscal years (FY 2023/24 and FY 2024/25) shall be distributed pursuant to a population-based formula to regional transportation planning agencies (RTPA) as defined in Section 7 of these guidelines. The bill authorizes RTPAs to use the moneys for high-priority transit capital projects consistent with the uses allowed in Cycle 6 of the TIRCP, which includes existing projects seeking to maintain or obtain federal or local funding commitments, project development for major projects that are seeking to enter or have already entered project development with federal partners, or for new TIRCP projects. As in Cycle 6, all capital projects must both increase ridership and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, in common with traditional TIRCP project requirements. The funding may also be used to fund transit operating expenses that prevent service cuts and increase ridership for operators within the RTPA's jurisdiction, subject to compliance with requirements and further detail described in Section 6 of the TIRCP guidelines.
- 2. \$1,100,000,000 over the next four fiscal years (FY 2023/24 through FY 2026/27) shall be for the establishment of the Zero-Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) and requires funds under the program to be allocated to RTPAs pursuant to a population-based formula and another formula based on transit operator revenues within the jurisdiction of these RTPAs, as specified (the formula described is consistent with the current State Transit Assistance formula). The bill authorizes RTPAs to use the moneys for zero emission transit equipment, including, but not limited to, zero-emission vehicles and refueling infrastructure and, subject to compliance with requirements described in Section 6 of the TIRCP guidelines, to use those moneys to fund transit operating expenditures that prevent service reduction or elimination in order to maintain or increase ridership.

DISCUSSION

Eligible recipients of TIRCP and ZETCP funding are RTPAs, as defined in Section 13987(j) of the Government Code. TIRCP funding will be allocated in both FY 2023/24 and FY 2024/25 to RTPAs according to a population-based formula after each recipient first receives \$300,000 of base funding. ZETCP funding over the four years from FY 2023/24 to FY 2026/27 will be allocated to RTPAs pursuant to a population-based formula and another formula based on transit operator revenues within the jurisdiction of individual RTPAs. This formula matches the approach used to calculate State Transit Assistance (STA) distribution. RTPAs have the discretion to suballocate or distribute funds within their region based on local needs, existing procedures, policies, or priorities, as long as required SB 125 program requirements and goals are met, including addressing how operating needs are expected to be met with SB 125 and/or other resources.

El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) received notification that the TIRCP Final Guidelines were approved on September 29, 2023, confirming the FY 2023/24 TIRCP and ZETCP allocation amounts. As the RTPA, it is EDCTC's role to allocate TIRCP and ZETCP funding to each project sponsor under the authority of EDCTC. According to the TIRCP Final Guidelines, EDCTC's allocation for FY 2023/24 TIRCP is \$9,085,857 and \$971,781 for FY 2023/24 ZETCP. Since EDCTA is the only transit operator in the EDCTC region, staff is recommending the funds be allocated to EDCTA.

EDCTA plans to use the \$9,085,857 in FY 2023/24 TIRCP and \$971,781 in FY 2023/2024 ZETCP funds to deliver two capital projects that are consistent with TIRCP and ZETCP guidelines and are based on recommendations contained in the 2019 Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan and the 2021 EDCTA Zero Emission Bus Fleet Conversion Plan. The capital projects are:

- 1. Purchase of zero-emission buses, including installation of the equipment and infrastructure necessary to operate and support zero-emission buses
- 2. Demonstration project

Per the TIRCP and ZETCP guidelines, each RTPA seeking allocation of funding shall complete an Initial Allocation Package by December 31, 2023. Each Allocation Package shall include, as applicable, the following:

- Signed Cover Letter
- Allocation Package Narrative Explanation
- Allocation Package Detailed Project Description
- ZETCP Project Summary Fact Sheet
- Summary Excel table including the proposed uses of TIRCP and ZETCP funds by fiscal year of availability
- Regionally representative transit operator data

EDCTC will submit the Allocation Package by December 31, 2023, and the requested funding will be allocated to EDCTC no later than April 30, 2024.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: EDCTC Resolution 23/24.09



2828 Easy Street, Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 www.edctc.org 530.642.5260

Councilmembers Representing City of Placerville: John Clerici, Jackie Neau, Michael Saragosa Supervisors Representing El Dorado County: John Hidahl, Lori Parlin, Wendy Thomas, George Turnboo

RESOLUTION 23/24.09

RESOLUTION OF THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ALLOCATING FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 TRANSIT AND INTERCITY RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM AND ZERO EMISSION TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM FUNDING TO THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSIT AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.95, Section 67950, the El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) was created as a local planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of El Dorado County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1(g) identifies EDCTC as the designated regional transportation planning agency for El Dorado County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and is responsible for the planning, allocating and/or programming of funds; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2023, the California State Transportation Agency approved the Budget Act of 2023 SB 125 Formula-Based Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and Zero Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) Final Guidelines and allocation amounts for the 2023/24 fiscal year (FY); and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is responsible for allocating TIRCP and ZETCP funds to each project sponsor under the authority of EDCTC; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC has determined that a total of \$9,085,857 in TIRCP FY 2023/24 funds and \$971,781 in ZETCP FY 2023/24 funds are available for transit purposes, subject to consistency with the California State Transportation Agency's SB 125 TIRCP and ZETCP Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the El Dorado County Transit Authority (EDCTA) is an eligible project sponsor and may receive state funding from the TIRCP and ZETCP for transit projects; and

WHEREAS, EDCTA has determined two appropriate TIRCP and ZETCP projects consistent with the 2019 Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan and 2021 Zero Emission Bus Fleet Conversion Plan and TIRCP and ZETCP Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, EDCTA, as the sole transit operator in the EDCTC region, is designated the project sponsor; and

WHEREAS, the EDCTC wishes to delegate authorization to execute documents and any amendments thereto to the EDCTA Executive Director.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors of the EI Dorado County Transportation Commission that the fund recipient, EDCTA, agrees to comply with all conditions and requirements set forth in the Budget Act of 2023 SB 125 Formula-Based Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) & Zero Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) Final Guidelines and applicable statutes, regulations, and guidelines for all TIRCP and ZETCP funded transit projects.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the EDCTA Executive Director be authorized to execute all required documents of the TIRCP and ZETCP and any Amendments thereto with the California State Transportation Agency.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, by the El Dorado County Transportation Commission's governing body at the regular meeting held on November 2, 2023, by the following vote:

Vote Pending	
	Attest:
John Clerici, Chairperson	Dana Keffer, Secretary to the Commission

BUSINESS ITEM

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: JERRY BARTON, SENIOR TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

SUBJECT: 2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMENCEMENT AND

RATIFICATION OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE

REQUESTED ACTION

Commence the update of the 2025-2045 El Dorado County Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and ratify the RTP Advisory Committee Member Matrix.

BACKGROUND

Every Regional Transportation Planning Agency is required by law to conduct long-range planning to ensure that the region's vision and goals are clearly identified and to ensure effective decision making relative to implementation of the stated vision and goals. The California statute relating to the development of the RTP is primarily contained in Government Code Section 65080. The RTP is an important policy document based on the unique needs and characteristics of El Dorado County. The RTP impacts a region's economy, environment, public health and safety, and social equity future, along with communicating a regional vision to the state and federal government. The RTP supports the State's goals as enumerated in California Government Code (GC) Section 65041.1, 49 U.S.C., 5303(i), 23 U.S.C., 134, and 23 U.S.C., 150.

The RTP will be prepared in accordance with the California Transportation Commission guidelines, which are currently being updated and are anticipated for adoption in December 2023. While the guidelines include both state and federal requirements, RTPAs have the flexibility to be creative in selecting transportation planning options that best fit the region's needs. The 2023 Draft RTP Checklist contained in Attachment A must be submitted to Caltrans with the Draft and Final RTP. The purpose of the checklist is to establish a minimum standard for developing the RTP.

Transportation projects and programs must be listed in the RTP as well as the SACOG Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and the Federal Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program to allow cities, counties, and transit operators to be eligible for federal funds for capital improvements. Furthermore, any capital projects are required to meet air quality conformity standards as outlined by the federal Environmental Protection Agency and implemented by SACOG.

DISCUSSION

EDCTC staff will prepare the 2025-2045 RTP over the course of the next two years, with an adoption in the fall of 2025. While the RTP looks toward a 20-year planning horizon, through the development of this update it is important to celebrate the many completed projects improving transportation since the adoption of the 2020-2040 RTP. Staff will provide a presentation on completed projects as a component of the first advisory committee meeting and to the Commission thereafter. Attachment B outlines the RTP schedule with detailed tasks to be completed and anticipated dates for public presentations. The 2025-2045 RTP update will align with the SACOG Blueprint (SACOG's Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS) update. In November of 2022, the SACOG Board of Directors authorized staff to pursue state legislation to extend the MTP/SCS schedule to move the plan adoption date from spring 2024 to fall 2025. The recent approval of AB350 provided SACOG with the legislative authorization needed to remain compliant with state

law for an additional two years. In accordance with the SACOG and EDCTC Memorandum of Understanding, EDCTC utilizes the population, transportation modeling, and growth forecasts prepared by SACOG.

Public involvement is critical to the success of the RTP process. EDCTC engages the public early and often to ensure they have ownership in the process and end result. Regional Transportation Planning Agencies are required to implement a public involvement process including opportunities for private and public operators of transit and freight, tribal governments, local communities, the general public, and other interested/affected parties (Title 23 Sec. 134(g) (4); Title 23 Sec 135 (e); and Title 23 CFR 450.316 (b) (1) (c)). The RTP shall provide for complete information, timely notice, full public access to key decisions, reasonable public access to technical and policy information, and explicit consideration of public input, in addition to an effort to seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems.

EDCTC will continue to utilize an RTP Advisory Committee as a focal point of our public involvement process because of the positive results achieved through the RTP processes conducted in previous updates.

El Dorado County 2025-2045 Regional Transportation Plan Advisory Committee Membership Matrix											
Government Organizations											
El Dorado County DOT El Dorado Transit City of Placerville											
El Dorado Hills CSD	Cameron Park CSD	Airports									
EDC Health and Human Services Agency	EDC Parks and Trails	EDC Environmental Management									
EDC Air Quality Management	Caltrans District 3	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency									
SACOG	EDC Office of Education	Shingle Springs Rancheria									
Tahoe Transportation District	Emergency Services – Police and Fire	Federal Land Management Agencies									
Historic Districts											
Citize	en Organizations and Intere	st Groups									
Agritourism	Chambers of Commerce	Trucking/Goods Movement									
Pedestrian Advocate	Seniors	Taxpayers Association									
Bicycle Advocate	Youth Representative	Development Community									
Rural Advocate	Transit Rider	Surveyor, Architect, and Engineer Organizations									
El Dorado Hills Business Park	Business Associations										

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachments: A) DRAFT 2023 RTP Checklist

B) 2025-2045 RTP Timeline

Appendix A: RTP Checklist

Regional Transportation Plan Checklist for RTPAs (Revised September 2023)

(To be completed electronically in Microsoft Word format by the RTPA and submitted along with the draft and final RTP to Caltrans)

Name of RTPA:

Date Draft RTP Completed:

RTP Adoption Date:

What is the Certification Date of the Environmental Document (ED)?

Is the ED located in the RTP or is it a separate document?

By completing this checklist, the MPO verifies the RTP addresses all of the following required information within the RTP.

Regional Transportation Plan Contents

	<u>General</u>	res/No	Page #
1.	Does the RTP address no less than a 20-year planning horizon? (23 CFR 450.324(a))		
2.	Does the RTP include both long-range and short-range strategies/actions? (23 CFR 450.324(b))		
3.	Does the RTP address issues specified in the policy, action and financial elements identified in California Government Code Section 65080?		
4.	Does the RTP address the 10 issues specified in the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) component as identified in Government Code Sections 65080(b)(2)(B) and 65584.04(i)(1)? a. Identify the general location of uses, residential densities, and		
	building intensities within the region?		
	 b. Identify areas within the region sufficient to house all the population of the region, including all economic segments of the 		

		population over the course of the planning period of the regional transportation plan taking into account net migration into the region, population growth, household formation and employment growth?	
	C.	Identify areas within the region sufficient to house an eight-year projection of the regional housing need for the region pursuant to Government Code Section 65584?	
		Identify a transportation network to service the transportation needs of the region?	
	e.	Gather and consider the best practically available scientific information regarding resource areas and farmland in the region as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Government Code Section 65080.01?	
	f.	Consider the state housing goals specified in Sections 65580 and 65581?	
	g.	Utilize the most recent planning assumptions, considering local general plans and other factors?	
	h.	Set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by CARB?	
	i.	Provide consistency between the development pattern and allocation of housing units within the region (Government Code 65584.04(i)(1)?	
	j.	Allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Section 7506)?	
5.		the RTP include Project Intent i.e. Plan Level Purpose and Need ments?	
6.	and	the RTP specify how travel demand modeling methodology, results key assumptions were developed as part of the RTP process? ernment Code 14522.2)	

Consultation/Cooperation

			Yes/No	Page #
1.		e RTP contain a public involvement program that meets the ents of Title 23, CFR 450.316(a)?		
	(i)	Providing adequate public notice of public participation activities and time for public review and comment at key decision points, including a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;		
	(ii)	Providing timely notice and reasonable access to information about transportation issues and processes;		
	(iii)	Employing visualization techniques to describe metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs;		
	(iv)	Making public information (technical information and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as the World Wide Web;		
	(v)	Holding any public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times;		
	(vi)	Demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input received during the development of the metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;		
	(vii)	Seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services;		
	(viii)	Providing an additional opportunity for public comment, if the final metropolitan transportation plan or TIP differs significantly from the version that was made available for public comment by the MPO and raises new material issues that interested parties could not reasonably have foreseen from the public involvement efforts;		
	(ix)	Coordinating with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under subpart B of this part; and		
	(x)	Periodically reviewing the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan to ensure a full and open participation process.		
•	5			
2.	significar transport	eRTP contain a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of at written and oral comments received on the draft regional ration plan as part of the final regional transportation plan and TIP ets the requirements of 23 CFR 450.316(a)(2), as applicable?		
3.	represent economi	MPO/RTPA consult with the appropriate State and local tatives including representatives from environmental and ic communities; airport; transit; freight during the preparation of		
	me RIP?	(23 CFR 450.316(b))		

		Yes/No	Page #
4.	Did the MPO/RTPA who has federal lands within its jurisdictional boundary involve the federal land management agencies during the preparation of the RTP? (23 CFR 450.316(d))		
5.	Where does the RTP specify that the appropriate State and local agencies responsible for land use, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation and historic preservation consulted? (23 CFR 450.324(g))		
6.	Did the RTP include a comparison with the California State Wildlife Action Plan and (if available) inventories of natural and historic resources? (23 CFR 450.324(g)(1&2))		
7.	Did the MPO/RTPA who has a federally recognized Native American Tribal Government(s) and/or historical and sacred sites or subsistence resources of these Tribal Governments within its jurisdictional boundary address tribal concerns in the RTP and develop the RTP in consultation with the Tribal Government(s)? (23 CFR 450.316(c))		
8.	Does the RTP address how the public and various specified groups were given a reasonable opportunity to comment on the plan using the participation plan developed under 23 CFR part 450.316(a)? (23 CFR 450.316(a)(i))		
9.	Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the private sector involvement efforts that were used during the development of the plan? (23 CFR 450.316(a))		
10.	Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the coordination efforts with regional air quality planning authorities? (23 CFR 450.316(a)(2)) (MPO nonattainment and maintenance areas only)		
11.	Is the RTP coordinated and consistent with the Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan? (23 CFR 450.306(h))		
12.	Were the draft and adopted RTP posted on the Internet? (23 CFR 450.324(k))		
13.	Did the RTP explain how consultation occurred with locally elected officials? (Government Code 65080(D))		
14.	Did the RTP outline the public participation process for the sustainable communities strategy? (Government Code 65080(E))		
15.	Was the RTP adopted on the estimated date provided in writing to State Department of Housing and Community Development to determine the Regional Housing Need Allocation and planning period (start and end		

	date) and align the local government housing element planning period (start and end date) and housing element adoption due date 18 months from RTP adoption date? (Government Code 65588(e)(5))		
	Title VI and Environmental Justice		
1.	Does the public participation plan describe how the MPO will seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation system, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services? (23 CFR 450.316 (a)(1)(vii))		
	Modal Discussion		
		Yes/No	Page #
1.	Does the RTP discuss intermodal and connectivity issues?		
2.	Does the RTP include a discussion of highways?		
3.	Does the RTP include a discussion of mass transportation?		
4.	Does the RTP include a discussion of the regional airport system?		
5.	Does the RTP include a discussion of regional pedestrian needs?		
6.	Does the RTP include a discussion of regional bicycle needs?		
7.	Does the RTP address the California Coastal Trail? (Government Code 65080.1) (For MPOs and RTPAs located along the coast only)		
8.	Does the RTP include a discussion of rail transportation?		
9.	Does the RTP include a discussion of maritime transportation (if appropriate)?		
10.	Does the RTP include a discussion of goods movement?		
10.	boes the KTF include a discussion of goods movement?		
	Programming/Operations	Yes/No	Page #
1.	Is the RTP consistent (to the maximum extent practicable) with the development of the regional ITS architecture? (23 CFR 450.306(g))		
2.	Does the RTP identify the objective criteria used for measuring the performance of the transportation system?		

3.	Does the RTP contain a list of un-constrained projects?		
4.	Does the RTP identify how the unconstrained project list meets TPM goals?		
	<u>Financial</u>		
1.	Does the RTP include a financial plan that meets the requirements identified in 23 CFR part 450.324(f)(11)?		
2.	Does the RTP contain a consistency statement between the first 4 years of the fund estimate and the 4-year STIP fund estimate? (65080(b)(4)(A))		
3.	Do the projected revenues in the RTP reflect Fiscal Constraint? (23 CFR part 450.324(f)(11)(ii))		
4.	Does the RTP contain a list of financially constrained projects? Any regionally significant projects should be identified. (Government Code 65080(4)(A))		
5.	Do the cost estimates for implementing the projects identified in the RTP reflect "year of expenditure dollars" to reflect inflation rates? (23 CFR part 450.324(f)(11)(iv))		
6.	After 12/11/07, does the RTP contain estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to operate and maintain the freeways, highway and transit within the region? (23 CFR 450.324(f)(11)(i))		
7.	Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the ITIP? (2016 STIP Guidelines Section 33)		
8.	Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the RTIP? (2016 STIP Guidelines Section 19)		
9.	Does the RTP address the specific financial strategies required to ensure the identified TCMs from the SIP can be implemented? (23 CFR part 450.324(f)(11)(vi) (nonattainment and maintenance MPOs only)		
	Environmental	Yes/No	Page #
1.	Did the MPO/RTPA prepare an EIR or a program EIR for the RTP in accordance with CEQA guidelines?		
2.	Does the RTP contain a list of projects specifically identified as TCMs, if applicable?		

3.	Does the RTP contain a discussion of SIP conformity, i	if applicable?		
4.	Does the RTP specify mitigation activities? (23 CFR pa	art 450.324(f)(10))		
5.	Where does the EIR address mitigation activities?			
6.	Did the MPO/RTPA prepare a Negative Declaration for the RTP in accordance with	_		
7.	Does the RTP specify the TCMs to be implemented in nonattainment and maintenance areas only)	n the region? (federal		
	I have reviewed the above information and certify t	that it is correct and cor	mplete.	
	(Must be signed by RTPA Date Executive Director or designated representative)	ate		
	Print Name Title	9		

							El Dorado Count	v 2025-2045 RTP												
TASKS	EDCTC Lead	Oct-23 Nov-23	Dec-23 Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24 Jun-24		Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25 Feh-25	Mar-25 Apr-2	5 May-25	Jun-25	Jul-25	Aug-25	Sep-25 Oct	-25 Nov-25
L) RTP Advisory Committee/Public Outreach	EDCTC Lead	OCC-25 140V-25	Dec-25 Jan-24	165-24	IVIGI-24	Api-24	IVIAY-24 Juli-24	Jui-24 Aug-24	3ep-24	OCC-24	1404-24	Dec-24	Jan-25 165-25	14141-25 Apr-2.	J Way-23	Jun-25	Jui-23	Aug-23	3ep-23 Oct	-25 1404-25
L.1 Ratify RTP AC		EDCTC Mtg																		
1.2 RTP AC Meetings		EDCTC Witg	MTG #1		M	TG #2			MTG #3				MTG #4					M	tg #5	
1.3 Public Workshops - EDCTC & SACOG Coordinated MTP/SCS			WIGHT			10 112			WITO III				WITO III4					141	LB 113	
1.3 EDCTC Board Meeting Updates		EDCTC Mtg						EDCTC Mtg									EDCTC Mtg			
1.4 Non-Traditional Outreach		25010 mtg			Fai	rth Day											LD C I William			
						,														
2) Projects Completed in Prior RTP Cycle																				
2.1 Previously Completed Projects List				EDCTC Mtg																
) Regional Context																				
3.1 Introduction - State Legislative Landscape																				
.2 Organizational Setting																				
.3 Physical Setting, Growth Projections																				
.4 RTP AC Kick Off Meeting			MTG #1																	
ACOG Growth Projections																				
.5 Travel Patterns																				
3.6 Emerging Technologies in Transportation																				
.7 Present Completed Projects and Regional Context to EDCTC Board				EDCTC Mtg																
) Policy Element																				
.1 Goals, Objectives, Policies																				
.2 Performance Measures																				
.3 Present Draft Policy Element to RTP AC					M	TG #2														
4 Finalize Goals, Objectives, Policies, Perf. Measures																				
5 Present Draft Policy Element to EDCTC Board							EDCTC Mtg													
Action Element																				
.1 Regional Road Network																				
2 Transit																				
.3 Aviation																				
.4 Goods Movement																				
.5 Active Transportation																				
.6 Transportation Systems Management																				
.7 Intelligent Transportation Systems																				
.8 Present Draft Action Element to RTP AC								EDCTC Mtg	MTG #3											
inalize Project Lists for Coordination with SACOG																				
) Financial Element																				
2 Funding Assumptions																				
ACOG Financial Forecasts																				
3 Federal, State, Local Funds																				
4 Expenditure vs. Revenue																				
.5 Future Funding Strategies																				
.6 Present Draft Financial Element to RTP AC													MTG #4							
7 Finalize Financial Element																				
8 Present Draft Action Element & Financial Element to EDCTC Board																	EDCTC Mtg			
A Alic Countilla, County worth, Florence																				
Air Quality Conformity Element																				
.1 Coordination with SACOG																				
.2 Finalize AQ Element																				
Environmental Decument																				
Environmental Document 1 Release RFP																				
2 Execute Contract												F	DCTC Mtg							
2 Execute Contract 3 NOP												EL	JCTC IVILE							
4 Develop EIR																			ha #F	
5 Present Draft EIR to RTP AC																		M	tg #5	
.6 Present Draft EIR to EDCTC Board									-						-			EC	CTC Mtg	
7 Finalize EIR																				
PTD Adoption by EDCTC Poard																			OCTC M+a	
RTP Adoption by EDCTC Board ACOG MTP Adoption																		EL	CTC Mtg	
ACOG MTP Adoption) RTP Submittal to Caltrans																			Cuba:	tal
INTE SUDMINICAL LO CALLEANS																			Submit	tai

INFORMATION ITEM

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2023

TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: DAN BOLSTER, SENIOR TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

SUBJECT: 2024 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OVERVIEW

REQUESTED ACTION

None. This item is for information only.

BACKGROUND

The State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the biennial five-year plan adopted by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) of future allocations of state transportation funds for state highway improvements, intercity rail, and regional highway, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit improvements. The five-year 2024 STIP cycle begins in Fiscal Year (FY) 2024/25 and extends through FY 2028/29. The regional agency element of the STIP is referred to as the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). The El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC), as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the western slope of El Dorado County, is required by state law to adopt a RTIP every two years. The proposed RTIP should be approved by the EDCTC Board at the December 2023 meeting and submitted to the CTC before December 15, 2023. EDCTC's RTIP, upon adoption by the CTC, represents western El Dorado County's portion of the larger statewide STIP.

The projects contained within EDCTC's 2024 proposed RTIP come from public processes and approvals of EDCTC's 2020 Regional Transportation Plan, SACOG's Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, Caltrans' planning documents, and the Capital Improvement Programs of the City of Placerville, County of El Dorado, and El Dorado Transit Authority.

EDCTC 2018 RTIP Funding

On August 16, 2017, the CTC released the 2018 Draft STIP Fund Estimate, which included an estimate of county and interregional shares. Based on the fund estimate, EDCTC's estimated STIP share was \$0 through FY 2021/22 due to being advanced \$5.179 million.

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM)

The EDCTC 2018 RTIP included the following programming of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds during the five fiscal years of the 2018 STIP cycle:

EDCTC PPM Programming – 2018 RTIP									
2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23					
\$70,000	\$70,000	\$92,000	\$92,000	\$93,000					

EDCTC 2020 RTIP Funding

On August 14, 2019, the CTC released the 2020 Draft STIP Fund Estimate, which included an estimate of county and interregional shares. Based on the fund estimate, EDCTC's estimated STIP share in FY 2024/25 at the end of the five-year 2020 STIP cycle was \$2.369 million. EDCTC chose to carry the 2020 STIP share forward to the 2022 STIP.

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM)

The EDCTC 2018 RTIP included the following programming of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds during the five fiscal years of the 2018 STIP cycle:

EDCTC PPM Programming – 2020 RTIP										
2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25						
\$78,000	\$78,000	\$78,000	\$78,000	\$78,000						

EDCTC 2022 RTIP Funding

On August 18, 2021, the CTC released the 2022 Draft STIP Fund Estimate, which included an estimate of county and interregional shares across the state. Based on the fund estimate, EDCTC's estimated STIP share at the end of the five-year 2022 STIP in FY 2026/27 was \$5,318,000. Additionally, EDCTC received an apportionment of \$874,084 in Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2021 (CRRSAA) STIP funds. After a joint consultation between the City of Placerville, El Dorado County, El Dorado Transit, and EDCTC, all parties agreed to the following 2024 STIP programming:

- Carry the 2022 STIP share forward to the 2024 STIP
- Program \$874,084 of CRRSAA STIP funds to the El Dorado Hills Boulevard Interchange Improvements Project – Phase 2B

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM)

The EDCTC 2022 RTIP proposes to include the following programming of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds during the five fiscal years of the 2022 STIP cycle:

EDCTC PPM Programming – 2022 RTIP									
2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27					
\$78,000	\$78,000	\$78,000	\$77,000	\$77,000					

EDCTC 2024 RTIP Funding

On August 16, 2023, the CTC approved the 2024 STIP Fund Estimate, which included an estimate of county and interregional shares across the state. Based on the fund estimate, EDCTC's estimated STIP share at the end of the five-year 2024 STIP in FY 2028/29 is \$11,135,000. However, in accordance with Streets and Highways Code Section 188.8(j), the 2024 STIP Guidelines authorize a region for a county with a population with less than one million to ask the CTC to advance an amount beyond its county share with the caveat that any amount proposed beyond the region's STIP share target depends on the availability of the STIP's program capacity.

Following consultation with the City of Placerville, El Dorado County, and El Dorado Transit Authority to identify regionally significant projects, EDCTC staff proposes advancing EDCTC's STIP share to FY 2031/32 for a STIP programming capacity of \$31,427,500 to program STIP funding to the following projects:

- Program \$10,430,150 of 2024 STIP funds to the El Dorado Hills Boulevard Interchange Improvements Project – Phase 2B
- Program \$14,875,000 of 2024 STIP funds to the US 50 Ponderosa Interchange Phase 1A, North Shingle
- Program \$2,212,500 of 2024 STIP funds to the US 50 Ponderosa Interchange Phase 1B, Durock

Program \$4,000,000 of 2024 STIP funds to the Western Placerville Interchanges Phase 2B,
 Westbound Off Ramp

As stated previously, any amount of STIP funding proposed beyond EDCTC's 2024 STIP target of \$11,135,000 depends on the availability of the STIP's program capacity. Therefore, in case the CTC is unable to accommodate EDCTC's request to advance its STIP share by the requested amount, the four projects proposed above are listed in order of programming priority as agreed to by the City of Placerville and El Dorado County.

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM)

The EDCTC 2024 RTIP proposes to include the following programming of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds during the five fiscal years of the 2024 STIP cycle:

EDCTC PPM Programming – 2024 RTIP				
2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29
\$108,000	108,000	\$108,000	\$107,000	\$99,000

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director